# MULTI LINE HUNT GROUP Cutover procedure

- I A. Determine number of terminals to cut in 1st stage.
  - B. AMERITECH initiates a Queued INB command for each of the hunt terminals identified as Stage 1.
  - C. **BROOKS** places a MB status on all lines <u>not</u> in Stage 1. (after traffic clears from Stage 1 terminals, proceed to step II)
- II A. AMERITECH cut and lays all Stage 1 MDF jumpers.
  - B. BROOKS tests all Stage 1 loops at customer premises.
- III A. AMERITECH places Call Forward option against Pilot of Hunt Group, activated to the new DN of Brook's Hunt Group.

(after traffic clears from Stage 2 terminals proceed to step IV)

- IV A. AMERITECH cut and lays all Stage 2 MDF jumpers.
  - B. AMERITECH Deletes translations for all terminals of hunt group leaving only the 1st line with Pilot DN.
  - C. BROOKS tests and RTS (turns up to service) Stage 2 terminals.
- V A. AMERITECH places INB status on main line of Hunt Group with Pilot DN, then remove CFW option.
  - B. AMERITECH removes translations on main line of Hunt Group and re-translates number as a Remote Call Forward service to Brook's new DN.
  - C. BROOKS places test calls verifying RCF call size.

by dls



January 17, 1997

Ms. Dorothy Wideman Executive Secretary Michigan Public Service Commission P.O. Box 30221 Lansing, MI 48909

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE FILED

JAN 1 7 1997

MPSC Case No. U-11104

COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Wideman:

Brooks Fiber is in the process of preparing comments for submission to the FCC with regard to Ameritech's application to provide in-region interLATA services in Michigan. In order that the MPSC should have a more complete record on which to base its recommendations to the FCC with regard to the above-referenced matter, Brooks Fiber submits the following partial listing of continuing operational and anti-competitive problems we have recently experienced with Ameritech. All of these problems have been brought to Ameritech's attention, and remain unresolved. Brooks Fiber believes that until these issues are resolved, Ameritech cannot be in compliance with the fourteen point "competitive checklist" set forth in Section 271(c)(2)(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and cannot be permitted to provide in-region interLATA services in Michigan.

Brooks Fiber recognizes that Ameritech has improved its level of service since Brooks Fiber first began to provide local exchange service in 1995. There are, however, serious continuing operational and anti-competitive issues which have a significant adverse impact on competition for local exchange service in Michigan. The following is a partial listing of continuing operational and anti-competitive problems Brooks Fiber has recently experienced with Ameritech. This is by no means an exhaustive list. A representative sampling of incident reports have also been attached.

1. <u>Unfair competition</u>. Brooks Fiber has received many reports of unfair competition by Ameritech. Ameritech has created a "win-back" department to target customers who have switched or are considering a switch to Brooks Fiber. Ameritech employees frequently disparage Brooks Fiber's service, telling customers that Brooks Fiber's service is inferior, or that if they switch to Brooks Fiber their service will receive less priority than with Ameritech. Brooks Fiber requests for Customer Service Records ("CSRs") are frequently passed on to Ameritech sales representatives who then contact those customers and attempt to dissuade them from switching to Brooks Fiber. Ameritech has also discouraged customers from requesting their own CSRs. Customers who have considered switching to Brooks Fiber have also informed us that Ameritech

told them that they would be dropped from directory assistance if they switched to Brooks Fiber. We have also received information that Ameritech has been attempting to sign large customers in exchanges served or about to be served by Brooks Fiber to long-term contracts. These long-term contracts have high penalties for early termination. Even when a customer decides to terminate these long-term contracts, Ameritech will frequently refuse to provide or will provide inaccurate information regarding the penalty for early termination. Ameritech has also refused to disclose or provide copies of customer contracts; either to Brooks Fiber pursuant to a signed Letter of Agency ("LOA"), or to the customer itself.

- Tving arrangements. Ameritech has been actively marking a long-term contract for intraLATA toll services, the "Value Link" contract, to existing customers in areas served by Brooks Fiber. Value Link contracts are for intraLATA toll service only; they do not purport to restrict the customer's choice for local exchange service. However, customers that sign these Value Link contracts for intraLATA toll services are unable to switch their local exchange services to Brooks Fiber because Ameritech refuses to accept intraLATA toll traffic from Brooks Fiber. Brooks Fiber has implemented dual PIC and can route intraLATA toll calls to Ameritech. This would preserve the Value Link contract and permit competition for local exchange service. However, Ameritech claims that it has no obligation to provide intraLATA toll service and has refused to accept such calls from Brooks. Ameritech does, however, accept such calls from other independent telephone companies in Michigan. The effect of this action is to tie the provision of one type of service in one market -- intraLATA toll -- to the provision of another type of service in another market -- local exchange -- without any contractual obligation with regard to local exchange service, thereby injuring competition for both types of service.
- 3. <u>Discriminatory treatment</u>. Ameritech frequently discriminates against Brooks Fiber in providing service. Brooks Fiber must rely on Ameritech to provide it with a Firm Order Commitment ("FOC") date. Ameritech orders receive preferential FOC dates. Ameritech will provide Brooks Fiber with one FOC date and then offer the customer an earlier FOC date if they stay with Ameritech. Ameritech orders also receive priority for installations. In the event of a shortage of Ameritech technicians, Ameritech will pull employees off of Brooks Fiber jobs and reassign them to Ameritech jobs. Another form of discriminatory treatment frequently occurs with new installations. Although Ameritech will build new loops to the existing location of the Network Interface Device ("NID") and reconnect the customer side of the NID for itself without charge, it will frequently build new loops to different locations, sometimes on the opposite side of the building, and disconnect the customer side of the NID for Brooks Fiber customers, leaving them without service.
- 4. <u>Failure to provide reliable Operations Support Systems ("OSS")</u>. The poor quality of Ameritech's OSS puts Brooks Fiber at a significant competitive disadvantage. Contrary to repeated assertions by Ameritech, AMERITECH'S OSS ARE NOT RELIABLE AND DO NOT WORK AS ADVERTISED. Ameritech's OSS are so

limited and unreliable that most orders cannot be processed by OSS, and each order processed by OSS must be manually confirmed by Brooks Fiber because orders will be dropped, canceled or lost by Ameritech at random. Most recently, on January 13, 1997, Brooks Fiber failed to receive 90 FOC dates Ameritech maintains were delivered by its OSS on that date. Brooks Fiber was not even aware of, let alone using, most of the OSS described in Ameritech's 271 application to the FCC. Brooks Fiber is aware that Ameritech has blamed Brooks Fiber and other users for the poor performance of its OSS, and has even attempted to stifle criticism of its OSS by filing a libel suit in U.S. District Court over statements an AT&T executive made on its ability to process orders. However, even when Brooks Fiber has addressed its problems with Ameritech's OSS, it still does not work. The fact remains that Ameritech's OSS are simply inadequate and incomplete.

- 5. Failure to provide billing in electronic format. Ameritech continues to bill Brooks Fiber for unbundled services by paper invoice. These bills are voluminous and amount to approximately one foot of documentation every month. It is impossible to verify the accuracy of these bills in a timely manner. Billing must be in electronic format and coordinated with an operable OSS in order to be manageable.
- 6. Poor coordination of customer cutovers. Ameritech and Brooks Fiber must coordinate their cutovers in order to minimize customer down time. Brooks Fiber knows that Ameritech is capable of adequate cutover coordination because difficult cutovers have been accomplished without undue difficulty on several occasions. However, Ameritech has increased customer down time on many cutovers by cutting off service prior to the scheduled time, refusing to begin cutovers at the scheduled time, providing Brooks Fiber with inaccurate information, performing improper installations and generally refusing to cooperate (e.g., taking extended breaks in the middle of a cutover, withdrawing and reassigning personnel to work on Ameritech jobs, refusing to authorize overtime, etc.).
- 7. <u>Missed installation dates</u>. Ameritech will frequently fail to show up on time or at all for Brooks Fiber installations. On several occasions Ameritech also failed to show up for subsequent rescheduled installation dates. Ameritech will frequently delay installation dates by providing Brooks Fiber with inaccurate customer information or because preliminary work is not performed in a timely fashion.
- 8. <u>Misinformation</u>. Ameritech has provided Brooks Fiber with inaccurate information regarding customers and customer service and equipment. This has resulted in many unnecessary delays and additional work.
- 9. <u>Refusal to provide unbundled services</u>. Ameritech has refused to provide certain services it provides to its own customers to Brooks Fiber on an unbundled basis in spite of the fact that it is required to do so by state and federal law, and has agreed to do so in its interconnection agreement.

We will provide you with a copy of Brooks Fiber's FCC filing as soon as it becomes available. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

Todd J. Stein (P44159) Regulatory Specialist

Enclosures

cc: All parties of record



<u>Order ide</u>	ntification
Customer Name:	Order #
Telephone #:	·
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
* extracted from e	mail dated 1/9/97 *
"I received a call today from	he office manager of
	ed her today trying to win the account
back, saying that Brooks service does n	
Gerri said she got very confused and up	
suggested that Ameritech call me and the	
name is Jenette (616) 261-6047.	
	•
	······································
	······································
·	
Order Date:	Inservice Date:
Reporter's Name:	Reporting Dept:



## AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

Order Identification

Customer Name:	Order# N/A
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
Customer called in to GR office asking a	
referred to me, the sales rep. in the Zeel	
10/28/96. I received copies of the custor	
	was the contact at
meantime, she called Ameritech, asking	
compete with the low Brooks rates. The	
lid go with Brooks, the service v	
a AMI customer, she'd get better service	
The customer then called me with this in	
of the person she spoke with at AMI. I to	
is still with AMI, and has created	I doubt in their minds about Brooks.
i	
Order Date:	Inservice Date: N/A
Reporter's Name	Reporting Dept



. Order ide	ntification
Customer Name:	Order #
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem	
I received information that Communications. After I met with the cu sign a LOA, the very next day Ameritech sent them a proposal on their local excha saw the proposal and Brooks figures and signed a five year agreement with Brook interested because Brooks is interested	called on SLR. The Ameritech Rep. ange rates, trying to better Brooks. I d prices still beat AMI. The customer is. The customer also said now AMI is
interested because brooks is interested	and wining to save us money.
	***************************************
	·
Order Date:	Inservice Date:
Reporter's Name:	Reporting Dept.:



Customer Name: Gelephone #: Customer Address:  Drief Description of Problem:  This order was for a new loop for our customer. This was to be a second line for the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs that had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI service working again that day.
Customer Address:  Brief Description of Problem:  This order was for a new loop for our customer. This was to be a second line or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had lone.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
This order was for a new loop for our customer. This was to be a second line or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had lone.  investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
This order was for a new loop for our customer. This was to be a second line or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
This order was for a new loop for our customer. This was to be a second line or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
or the customer. She had working Ameritech service on phone number *****.  When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
When our loop was installed for phone number ***** her working Ameritech service went dead. The customer called into Brooks to find out what we had done.  Investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service.  He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service.  He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
investigated the problem with Tim at the NECC. He found that the cable pairs hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service.  He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
hat had the working AMI service had been re-used for the new Brooks service. He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
He immediately put an AMI order into the system to get the customer's AMI
service working again that day.
Fim was able to push this order through and got the customer connected that
day. However, by this time, the customer was very upset. The AMI tech that
was sent to the customer premises to re-install her AMI service told the
customer that all of her problems were caused by Brooks."
Order Date: Inservice Date:
Reporter's Name: Reporting Dept:



## **AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT**

Order Identification

Customer Name:		Order #
Telephone #:		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
Customer Address:		
	e de la constanta de la consta	
Brief Description of P	roblem:	
		th Centrex service. We waited and
		ally called and found out that the order
		ment at AMI. When asked why, we
		penalty if they wanted to switch their
		ing to put the order on hold until the
lawyers can get it se	ttled.	
	un an ga anni anni ga an an anni an	
	u <del>estiga</del> p <del>ed e 1 %, que e 22 stuppes es s</del> de 4 años ses a como s s de 4 años ses	
	98 24488 <del>244 24</del> 2 <b>7 2000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </b>	
		•
		·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·	
·		
Order Date: 11-01-	96	Inservice Date: On Hold
Reporter's Name:		Reporting Dept:



Order ide	ntification
Customer Name:	Order #   Pending
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Problem:	
***************************************	
This customer was told initially by America	tech that because they wanted to
terminate a contract with them, the pena	
states the termination penalty is around	
first person [the customer contacted] wa	
department so did not have authorization	
even have the first quote in writing. He is	
to our service because of what Amerited	
to our service because or what Amented	it is now threatening to charge nim.
Order Date: Pending	Inservice Date: N/A
Reporter's Name:	Reporting Dept:

ATTACHMENT 1

AMIZZIA

### Ameritech ValueLink<sup>IM</sup> Plus Agreement

This is an agreement between

ValueLink<sup>14</sup> Plus, an optional calling plan offered under tariffs filed with the Indiana Utilities Regulatory Commission, the Michigan Public Service Commission, the Public Utility Commission of Ohio, and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

#### Term

The term of this Agreement commences when Customer executes this Agreement and Ameritech installs the ValueLink Plus calling plan and shall continue for the term selected by the Customer on page 2 of this Agreement.

#### Users Commitment:

In the event Customer's applicable usage falls below the minimum monthly usage commitment (MMUC) level. Customer will be billed the difference between actual usage end the commitment level. If an annual usage commitment is selected, then the Customer will be billed the difference on an annual basis or at contract expiration. The annual usage commitment is only available on the 36 month term of Option B (see page 2).

### Bates and Usace Commitment

ValueLink Plus service affers reduced per minute rates on specific usage when Customer agrees to a minimum usage level as specified by Customer on page 2 of this Agreement. In the event Customer's applicable usage falls below its commitment level. Customer will be billed the difference between actual usage and the commitment level. If Customer elects a minimum monthly usage commitment ("MMUC"), the difference will be billed on the next monarly bill. If Customer elects a minimum annual usage commitment ("MAUC"), Customer will be billed the difference on an annual basis or at contract expiration.

#### Este Options

Customer may elect Option A or Option B on page 2 of this Agreement. Under Option B, Americant Install ATA usage from American Calling Card contributes to Customer's satisfaction of its MMUC of MAUC.

	OPTION A	
MMUC	18 Mas.	J6 Mas.
\$30	0.14	0.12
\$100	0.13	0.11
5250	0.125	0.105
\$500	0.12	(). (0
\$1.000	0.11	11.9
\$2,500	0.10	1 0.8
		(.087 in OH)

	OPT	ION B	
MMUC	15 Mcs.	MAUC	36 Mgs
\$55	0.14	\$660	0.12
SIOS	0.13	\$1,260	0.11
<b>5255</b>	0.125	\$3,060	0.105
\$505	0.12	26.060	0.10
\$1.005	0.11	\$12,060	0.09
\$2,505	0.10	\$30,060	0.08
			1.087 In OHD

#### Early Termination

Except as provided elsewhere in this Agreement. If Customer terminates this Agreement prior to its explusion.

Customer will be billed termination liability equal to (MMUC) X (Number of months remaining in Agreement term) or (MAUC) X (Number of months remaining in Agreement term).

#### Satisfaction Guarantee:

American agrees to waive termination liability when Customer is a first time subscriber to ValueLink and widdle ninety (90) days of the ValueLink installation.

#### Limitarina:

Customer's usage commitment is satisfied by direct dialed, station-to-station, incrastate/inval ATA long distance usage, including Michigan zone usage, on business classes of service. ValueLituc<sup>SM</sup> Plus rates do not apply to Michigan zone usage.

#### Lizbilitz:

The liability, if any, of Ameritech, its affiliates, successors, agents or assigns for damages to Customer or to any third naity whether in negligenes, tork contract or otherwise, for any missake, omissions, interruptions, defects, delays, errors, injuries, non-performance or performance failures of the service covered under this Agreement is limited to an innount equal to a promate reduction of the MMUC or MAUC, whichever is applicable.

#### AM1233A

# Ameritech ValueLink<sup>SM</sup> Plus Agreement

	ge commitment from Opti	
	Post-It" brand fax tra	nsmittal memo 7871   od pages > 3
	76	Veatr
	<b>C</b>	Co.
	Dept.	Phone
	- Fage	Fax
(Does not	include call grant usage	
Term: 18 months	36 months	Customer Initials:
Monthly Usage Commitment		Customer Initials:
	s<00	51.000 57.500
Promotion Type(s):		77"
	OK Opuan B	
· (Inclu	des calling card usage)	
Term: 18 months	X 36 manths	Customer Initials:
		•
Monthly Usage Commitment 118 month term only):		
\$55 \$105 \$25	s ssos .	\$1.00\$ \$2_\$05
	OR	
Annual Usage Commitment (36 month reem only):		Customer Initials:
51.260 51.260 53.0	okn <b>36.060</b>	512.060 \$30.060
Promotion Type(s):		
		dendition to the American Value
Your signature acknowledges that you understand Plus service and that you are authorized to make t	and accept the terms no	nd conditions for the Americach Value service for this account.
Plus service and that you are authorized to make t	he commitment and order	nd conditions for the Americach Value service for this excount.
Your signature acknowledges that you understand Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER	and accept the terms not he commitment and order AMERITECH	nd conditions for the Ameritech Value service for this account.
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to	he commitment and order  AMERITECH	SETVICE (OF THIS ELDUM.
Plus service and that you are authorized to make t	he commitment and order  AMERITECH	nd conditions for the Ameritech Value service for this account.  exitent Signature
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature	AMERITECH Authorized Am	exitoch Signature
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature  Print/Type Maine	he commitment and order  AMERITECH	exitoch Signature
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature  Print/Type Maine  DON FREEHAFER	AMERITECH Authorized Am Printype Nam	exitoch Signature
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature  Print/Type Maine	AMERITECH Authorized Am	exitoch Signature
CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature  Print/Type Maine  DON FREEHAFER  Title	AMERITECH Authorized Am Printype Nam	exitoch Signature
Plus service and that you are authorized to make to CUSTOMER  Authorized Customer Signature  Print/Type Maine  DON FREEHAFER	AMERITECH Authorized Am Printype Nam	exitoch Signature



	eol 19010	numeation		
Customer Name:			Order#	
Telephone #:			. 3	
Customer Address:				
	,		7	
Brief Description of P	roblem:			
·····		·		
The customer needed				
six (6) days. The cus			she went with A	Ameritech
and received her line	within two (2) days	•		
				·.
~~~~~~~~~				
***************************************				
***************************************				
				·
				÷.
				•
Order Date:		Inservice	Date: None	
Danottare Name		Reporting	Dept:	



	Orderside	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Customer Name:		Order #'	
Telephone #:			
Customer Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Brief Description of F	roblem:		
	+000 1001 10011100 10011 100 10011	*************************************	
		one service by the time	
released. Brooks Cu	istomer Care depart	ment asked that the or	der be expedited.
		41	-1
		r called to cancel her o	
		Ameritech initially told	
		/96. The order was car	ncelled and
Brooks Dispatch and	Provisioning were i	notified.	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		·	
***************************************			
	·····	***************************************	
	***************************************		
***************************************	***************************************		
·	***************************************	4	, To a control of the same of
***************************************	***************************************		)(1. <del>101</del> 4-14-1-14-1-14-1-14-1-14-14-14-14-14-14-
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
***************************************	***************************************		**************************************
*	Pa <b>ged 6 C</b> gs <b>809</b>		**************************************
***************************************	<u></u>		
	**************************************		
	**************************************		
Order Date: 12/12/9	16	Inservice Date: N/A	
Chach Date. 12121		HISCHAICE DUICE MIN	



	Order Identification
Customer Name:	Order#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Probl	om:
soneissescriptionsolssicon	GITI.
144 160 160 160 160 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17	
"This customer was sche	duled to cut today at 10am. Due to Ameritech having
problems of their own, the	ey have pulled all their technicians to work on their
stuff.	
***************************************	neritech notified us that there was no technician
	C. Doug at Ameritech checked with his boss but called
it needs to be reschedule	't going today because of excessive trouble problems
it fleeds to be resemedule	
***************************************	
	•
***************************************	
\\	
Order Date:	Inservice Date:



	Order Identifi	cation	
Customer Name:		Order#	
Telephone #:	entropy of the second of the s	<u> </u>	
Customer Address:			
Brief Description of P	roblem:		
	***************************************	***************************************	
This customer was to	have (9) new loops in	stalled on due date (1/7/97).	····-
		le". Ameritech had too many	<u>/</u>
repairs so they pulled	their technicians.		
^aarfaxda.s.s.4.c.			
***************************************	***************************************		
***************************************			
	***************************************		
	<del>.</del>	······	
,,			
***************************************			
***************************************	<del></del>		
	***************************************		•
***************************************	***************************************		
	**************************************		
	<del></del>	***************************************	
		***************************************	
	<del>hordlad</del> da <del>ud 1962 o dhao t</del> aol <del>ta</del> teacad o choc con a period a con a pagada a choc		
	***************************************		
	<del></del>		
*************************************	<del></del>		
Order Date: 1/7/97	Ins	service Date: 1/8/97	
Deportor's Name	******************************	porting Dept:	7



**Customer Name:** 

Order Date:

Reporter's Name:

## BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF MICHIGAN, INC.

## AMERITECH INCIDENT REPORT

Order Identification

Order#

Telephone #:		
Customer Address:		7
	···	
Brief Description of Problem:		
THIS WAS A BRAND NEW BUILDING CU		
MOVE INTO. WE ORDERED 15 NEW LOC		
12/5, WHICH ALLOWED US TO HAVE EX	, a	······································
BEFORE TURNING THEM UP. DUE TO C		
DUE DATE WAS PUSHED OUT TO 12/19	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
WENT TO THE CUSTOMER SITE TO TES	.,,,,.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
AMI HAD NOT BROUGHT THE LINES INT	TO THE EQUIPMEN	IT ROOM BUT
INSTEAD LEFT THEM OUTSIDE THE BU	ILDING. DUE TO C	ONSTRUCTION
WAS COMPLETE, WE HAD TO HAVE	O OUT AND	EVALUATE THE
SITE TO SEE IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE	E FOR THEM TO G	ET THE LINES
INTO THE EQUIPMENT ROOM WITHOUT	TTOO MUCH TRO	UBLE. THEY
FOUND CONDUIT FROM THE CLOSET T	O THE OUTSIDE A	ABOUT 4 FEET
FROM THE NIJ. FORTUNATELY,		
TO DO THE REQUIRED WORK IMMEDIA	TELY SO THAT TH	IE SCHEDULED
CUTOVER FOR THE NEXT DAY WAS NO	OT AFFECTED. THI	S COULD HAVE
TURNED INTO A HUGE LOSS OF REVE	NUE AS THIS CUS	TOMER HAS
OVER 200 LINES WITH BROOKS CURRE	ENTLY.	
		······································

Inservice Date:

Reporting Dept

A CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND





	Order	Identification
Customer Name:	N/A	Order # N/A
Telephone #:		
Customer Address:	<i>t</i>	
Brief Description of	Problem:	
Dan talanta atti bar		the all the six to refer with Amerikanh
		the electronic transfer with Ameritech.
[firm order commitm		told us that they sent over (180) FOC's
Initi Order Commun	ieritsjwe receive	eu (30).
	······································	
***************************************	4	×
	,	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•
		4
	***************************************	
	***************************************	
	***************************************	
	***************************************	
		1:
Order Date: Reporter's Name:		Inservice Date: Reporting Dept



©rder identification

Customer Name:	der#
Telephone #:	
Customer Address:	
Brief Description of Prob	em:
Found problem when ch	ecking with Ameritech on an account for
Ameritach said this orde	was cancelled in error by their system. Order should
	ed. This error caused a delay in the process to get
this customer hooked up	***************************************
	·
Kim from Ameritech tool	the cancel status off of this account so this order could
be completed.	
Brooks was not notified	of this error until we contacted Ameritech.
***************************************	
······································	
***************************************	
······································	
***************************************	
Order Date: 12/7/96	Inservice Date: 1/7/97
Reporter's Name:	Reporting Dept:



Order Identification  Customer Name: Order # O
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
Brief Description of Problem:
Found problem when checking with Ameritech on an account for
Ameritech said this account was cancelled in error by their system. This error caused a delay in the processing of this order.
Kim from Ameritech took the cancel status off of this account so this order could be processed.
Brooks was never notified of this error until we contacted Ameritech.
_
Order Date: 12/18/96 Inservice Date: 1/7/97 Reporter's Name: Reporting Dept



		Miles Mold	
Customer Name:		Order #	
Telephone #:			(3.55)
Customer Address:		·	
•			
Brief Description of Pr	oblem:		
Called Ameritech to fir	nd out why order di	d not cut on due date.	
, <sub>19</sub> 94 1 <del>0 1</del> 1994 1 0 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994 1 1994	······································		
Ameritech said order	was cancelled in th	eir system by mistake.	
Kim took cancelled sta	atus off account so	this order could be pro-	cessed.
Ameritech did not not	ify Brooks of cance	llation which we did not	authorize
	ny Diodito di Garioc		
	proces a construction of the construction of t	***************************************	``````````````````````````````````````
		***************************************	
		***************************************	
	***************************************	***************************************	
***************************************		, 	
***************************************			
	<del>                                    </del>	***************************************	
	pallynga par 141,440 <del>00 211,</del> 222,22111112	***************************************	
		MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER STORAGO (MANAGER S	***************************************
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************	
		***************************************	
Order Date: 12/18/96	6	Inservice Date: 1/7/97	
Reporter's Name:		Reporting Dept:	

November 6, 1996

Ameritech Eric Larson 350 North Orleans, Floor 3 Chicago, IL 60654

Dear Eric:

I'm sending you this letter to follow up with our phone conversation on October 31, 1996. In our conversation, you stated that you will start the process necessary to send up tapes or MDM of invoices. This will be very helpful as we grow to process our payments.

We also talked about detailing out the credits due to us for disputed items. Since this information is stored electronically in your systems, I prefer that your billing department work with this data to calculate the credits. We can then review the calculation. As you know, we do not have access to this information in an electronic format making it difficult to manipulate. The credits due are 60 cents for each additional path billed and the \$42 charge for Line connection charges on the SPNP bills. There are credits due on the unbundled loop bills for \$42 for each one-time line connection charge. Once these credits are given, you will see that there isn't a past due balance to Ameritech.

As I mentioned, we need our invoices from Ameritech sent to us in an electronic format. This will enable us to better process payments. Hence the need for our invoices to be provided in some sort of tape or other electronic format.

I want to resolve these outstanding issues so we can move to other priorities, as I am sure you do. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to call (314) 579-3734.

Sincerely

John F. Jennings

cc: Marty Clift Dennis Perkins Millié Reed

Order Identification (Control of the Control of the
Customer Name: Order #
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
Brief Description of Problem:
"This cut was due yesterday at 8am but because of multiple problems was still
not done at 8pm last night. First of all, at least half of the lines were on ISLC
and we needed an Ameritech technician to change them before we could cut.
This held up the cut until approximately 10am. Then the customer couldn't
dial long distance because the sales person had their carrier as LDMI instead of
LCI. That was an easy fix.
Then some of the lines kept locking up when we dialed out. From Troy in the
NOCC we discovered that the lines were going into overcurrent protection. I
contacted unbundling and from my experience with Ameritech I was able to
determine, by having Neal read the Ameritech assignment to me, that most of
the lines that were in trouble were assigned incorrectly on GST cards. I had
Neal re-dispatch the tech to change the cards and to make a long story short —
he just pulled the cards out and put them back in which reset the lines. When
we dialed out they again went into overcurrent protection. Ameritech did
finally dispatch a tech to change the cards in the C.O. around 10:30pm last night
and we are testing the lines now.
Order Date: 12/11/96
Reporter's Name Reporting Dept:

Order identification

Customer Name: Order #
Telephone #:
Customer Address:
Brief Description of Problem:
This order was conirmed and completed with Ameritech. Josie with Brooks
dispatch called and said that Ameritech said that they never received this
order. I called Darlene at AMI. She told me that this order was received.
Darlene conferenced Neal with the service center to help with this problem.
Neal had said that when they sent someone to do this order they checked the
cable and pair and said it was occupied. So they reassigned a new underground
cable and pair. After someone did some additional checking the original
cable and pair was never occupied-someone at Ameritech check the wrong
number. They tried to put this back to the original cble and pair but by this
time that one was occupied.
Neal said that this order is correct now and "hopefully" it should be installed
sometime today.
Order Date: 1/10/97 Inservice Date: 1/17/97
Reporter's Name: Reporting Dept: